| Case. | 7023 |
|------------------|--|
| 1 2 | IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION |
| 3 4 5 6 | IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION CASE TRACK THREE Case No. 1:17-md-2804 Cleveland, Ohio November 10, 2021 9:00 A.M. |
| 7 | |
| 8 | WOLLDE 07 |
| 9 | VOLUME 27 |
| 11 | |
| 12 | TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS, |
| 13 | BEFORE THE HONORABLE DAN A. POLSTER, |
| 14 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE, |
| 15 | AND A JURY. |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | Official Court Reporter: Heather K. Newman, RMR, CRR 7-189 U.S. Court House |
| 21 | 801 West Superior Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44113 |
| 22 | 216-357-7035 |
| 24 | Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript |
| 25 | produced by computer-aided transcription. |
| | |

| | | | 7024 |
|----------|----|---------------------|---|
| | 1 | APPEARANCES: | |
| | 2 | For the Plaintiffs: | Peter H. Weinberger, Esq. Spangenberg, Shibley & Liber |
| | 3 | | 1001 Lakeside Avenue, Ste. 1700 1900 East Ninth Street |
| | 4 | | Cleveland, Ohio 44114 216-696-3232 |
| | 5 | | W. Mark Lanier, Esq. |
| | 6 | | Rachel Lanier, Esq. M. Michelle Carreras, Esq. |
| | 7 | | Mildred Conroy, Esq., The Lanier Law Firm 6810 FM 1960 West |
| | 8 | | Houston, Texas 77069 813-659-5200 |
| | 9 | | |
| | 10 | | Frank L. Gallucci, III, Esq. Plevin & Gallucci Company, LPA The Illuminating Building |
| | 11 | | Suite 2222 55 Public Square |
| | 12 | | Cleveland, Ohio 44113 216-861-0804 |
| | 13 | | |
| | 14 | | Salvatore C. Badala, Esq. Maria Fleming, Esq. Napoli Shkolnik |
| | 15 | | 360 Lexington Ave., 11th Floor |
| | 16 | | New York, New York 10017 212-397-1000 |
| | 17 | | Laura S. Fitzpatrick, Esq., |
| | 18 | | Simmons Hanly Conroy 112 Madison Avenue |
| | 19 | | 7th Floor New York, NY 10016 |
| : | 20 | | 212-784-6400 |
| 08:53:44 | 21 | | Michael A. Innes, Esq., Carella, Byrne, Cecchi, Olstein, |
| : | 22 | | Brody & Agnello 5 Becker Farm Road |
| : | 23 | | Roseland, NJ 07068 973-944-1700 |
| : | 24 | | |
| : | 25 | | |
| | | | |

Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 4140 Filed: 11/10/21 3 of 19. PageID #: 553966

| Case. | 1.17-1110-02804-DAP | 11/10/21 3 01 19. PageID #. 553900 7025 |
|-------|--------------------------|--|
| | | 7023 |
| 1 | For Walgreen Defendants: | Kaspar J. Stoffelmayr, Esq. |
| 2 | | Brian C. Swanson, Esq. Katherine M. Swift, Esq. |
| 3 | | Alex Harris, Esq. Sharon Desh, Esq. |
| 4 | | Bartlit Beck LLP 54 West Hubbard Street, Ste.300 Chicago, Illinois 60654 |
| 5 | | 312-494-4400 |
| 6 | For CVS Defendants: | Graeme W. Bush, Esq. Eric R. Delinsky, Esq. |
| 7 | | Alexandra W. Miller, Esq. Paul B. Hynes, Jr., Esq. |
| 8 | | Zuckerman Spaeder - Washington Suite 1000 |
| 9 | | 1800 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20036 |
| 10 | | 202-778-1831 |
| 11 | For Walmart Defendants: | John M. Majoras, Esq. Robert H. Hendricks, Esq., |
| 12 | | Jones Day - Columbus Suite 600 |
| 13 | | 325 John H. McConnell Blvd. Columbus, Ohio 43215 |
| 14 | | 614-281-3835 |
| 15 | | Tara A. Fumerton, Esq. Tina M. Tabacchi, Esq. |
| 16 | | Jones Day - Chicago Suite 3500 |
| 17 | | 77 West Wacker Chicago, Illinois 60601 |
| 18 | | 312-782-3939 |
| 19 | ALSO PRESENT: | Special Master David Cohen |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |

| 09:29:00 1 | Wednesday Session, November 10, 2021, at 9:00 A.M. |
|-------------|--|
| 09:29:00 2 | COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise. |
| 09:29:06 3 | THE COURT: All right. Everyone can be |
| 09:29:07 4 | seated, please. All right. |
| 09:29:27 5 | Good morning. We have a number of matters. Let's |
| 09:29:36 6 | start with what was on the schedule, which was any remaining |
| 09:29:39 7 | issue with the trial exhibits. |
| 09:29:41 8 | MS. FITZPATRICK: Yes, Your Honor. Good |
| 09:29:43 9 | morning. Laura Fitzpatrick for the plaintiffs. |
| 09:29:46 10 | I'm pleased to tell you that we worked long into the |
| 09:29:48 11 | night last night with all sides, and we have come to an |
| 09:29:50 12 | agreement that there are no issues to bring to you, |
| 09:29:53 13 | Your Honor, for resolution with respect to the admitted |
| 09:29:56 14 | exhibits, with one caveat. And I believe that Mr. Delinsky |
| 09:30:00 15 | may want to put on the record just as an objection let me |
| 09:30:04 16 | restate that. I apologize. |
| 09:30:05 17 | We have no issues with Walmart Walgreens as to |
| 09:30:11 18 | admitted exhibits. |
| 09:30:12 19 | With respect to CVS, we are in agreement that we do |
| 09:30:16 20 | not need your guidance or ruling on anything. |
| 09:30:19 21 | We're in agreement as to the form of how the Holiday |
| 09:30:22 22 | case will go back to the jury. I believe Mr. Delinsky would |
| 09:30:26 23 | like to note for the record his objection to that document |
| 09:30:29 24 | going into evidence, but we're not here to argue |
| 09:30:33 25 | THE COURT: Okay. |

| 09:30:34 1 | MS. FITZPATRICK: about the document. |
|-------------|---|
| 09:30:36 2 | MR. DELINSKY: And, Your Honor, it's just |
| 09:30:38 3 | as I'm sure Your Honor remembers, the case and the decision |
| 09:30:41 4 | came in over our objection to begin with. |
| 09:30:44 5 | THE COURT: Right. |
| 09:30:44 6 | MR. DELINSKY: So Ms. Fitzpatrick did |
| 09:30:50 7 | characterize it right. I did just want to put on the record |
| 09:30:53 8 | our objections to the Holiday case being in evidence under |
| 09:30:56 9 | 401, 402, 403, 404, 408, 603, and 803. |
| 09:31:03 10 | So we still continue to object but we have nothing |
| 09:31:06 11 | beyond those objections to raise with you now, mindful that |
| 09:31:09 12 | Your Honor has already let it in. |
| 09:31:10 13 | THE COURT: All right. |
| 09:31:11 14 | MR. DELINSKY: And |
| 09:31:12 15 | THE COURT: When we break today, I want to |
| 09:31:13 16 | make I want counsel to stay with Mr. Pitts and make sure |
| 09:31:20 17 | that he's on a what is it, on a jump drive? |
| 09:31:25 18 | COURTROOM DEPUTY: It's a flash drive. |
| 09:31:27 19 | They're going to work that out over the weekend and |
| 09:31:30 20 | give you a drive over the on Monday because they have to |
| 09:31:33 21 | check each other's work. |
| 09:31:34 22 | THE COURT: All right. |
| 09:31:34 23 | So you're going to do that and give it to us on |
| 09:31:37 24 | Monday? |
| 09:31:37 25 | MS. FITZPATRICK: Yes, Your Honor. Each side |

| 09: | 31:39 | 1 |
|-----|-------|----|
| 09: | 31:43 | 2 |
| 09: | 31:48 | 3 |
| 09: | 31:50 | 4 |
| 09: | 31:54 | 5 |
| 09: | 31:56 | 6 |
| 09: | 31:58 | 7 |
| 09: | 31:59 | 8 |
| 09: | 32:02 | 9 |
| 09: | 32:03 | 10 |
| 09: | 32:03 | 11 |

has shared a link to the document, the specific electronic file that will be placed onto the flash drive. And although we're in agreement as to where redactions need to go, I think both sides would just feel comfortable if we had a fresh pair of eyes on the documents to ensure that what the -- you know, that the documents reflect the agreements that have been made.

But I do not envision any issues coming before Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

Well, because there won't be any time for any so I'll just assume everyone's going to look at it and will have signed off that the flash drive has everything that it should have, that it doesn't contain any documents it shouldn't have, and that that any redactions were made to everyone's satisfaction.

MS. FITZPATRICK: Yes, Your Honor.

And if I may, Your Honor, just for the record, and I think for the benefit of opposing counsel.

To the extent that there have been redactions to documents that may have previously been posted on the Court's website, plaintiffs have agreed that those versions will be replaced with the redacted versions.

We will make it seamless and easy for Mr. Pitts and the Court staff to have that done, but I did want to

09:32:21 16 09:32:22 17

09:32:07 12

09:32:10 13

09:32:14 14

09:32:17 15

09:32:23 18

09:32:26 19

09:32:33 21

09:32:36 22

09:32:40 23

09:32:43 24

09:32:48 25

| 09:32:51 1 | represent on the record that we have no problem with that. |
|-------------|--|
| 09:32:52 2 | Also, for the benefit of the record, I think it may be |
| 09:32:55 3 | helpful for me, if Your Honor will permit, to just read in |
| 09:33:01 4 | the plaintiff exhibit numbers for the Holiday decision. |
| 09:33:04 5 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 09:33:05 6 | MS. FITZPATRICK: If thank you, Your Honor. |
| 09:33:06 7 | The first is $P-42147A$. The second version is $P-8166$. |
| 09:33:18 8 | And the third time that the Holiday case appears within an |
| 09:33:22 9 | admitted exhibit is P-7799, and the agreed-to redactions |
| 09:33:27 10 | will appear in all versions of those exhibits. |
| 09:33:32 11 | MR. DELINSKY: And, Your Honor |
| 09:33:33 12 | THE COURT: We're going to have three exhibits |
| 09:33:35 13 | of Holiday? |
| 09:33:36 14 | MS. FITZPATRICK: Well, Your Honor, it's |
| 09:33:38 15 | the issue is that the there was a version of the Holiday |
| 09:33:41 16 | decision that was used by Mr. Lanier live in court, and then |
| 09:33:44 17 | there were two deposition exhibits for depositions that were |
| 09:33:48 18 | played in court where the in one instance, P-7799, there |
| 09:33:56 19 | was an e-mail to Mr. Brad Nelson that attached the decision, |
| 09:34:00 20 | and our interest in that document was the cover e-mail and |
| 09:34:04 21 | the fact that Mr. Nelson was on notice of the Holiday |
| 09:34:08 22 | decision. |
| 09:34:09 23 | THE COURT: All right. |
| 09:34:10 24 | Well, we just want to make sure that the same |
| 09:34:13 25 | redactions are made on all versions. |

| 09:34:18 1 | MS. FITZPATRICK: That's exactly right, |
|-------------|--|
| 09:34:20 2 | Your Honor are. And that's all I wanted to make sure was |
| 09:34:23 3 | clear for the record. |
| 09:34:24 4 | MR. DELINSKY: And, Your Honor, there's one |
| 09:34:25 5 | other nuisance associated with the Holiday case that I'll |
| 09:34:28 6 | just bring to Your Honor's attention. |
| 09:34:30 7 | The parties have agreed to a cover stipulation that |
| 09:34:34 8 | simply defines the word "respondents" as it appears in the |
| 09:34:37 9 | opinion because that could otherwise be confusing to lay |
| 09:34:40 10 | persons. |
| 09:34:41 11 | THE COURT: Where is that going to appear? |
| 09:34:43 12 | MR. DELINSKY: I think it's going to be |
| 09:34:44 13 | stapled to the cover of the exhibit, Your Honor. |
| 09:34:48 14 | THE COURT: All right. |
| 09:34:50 15 | MS. FITZPATRICK: That's correct, Eric. |
| 09:34:51 16 | And, Your Honor, I do have a copy of the redacted |
| 09:34:53 17 | version if Your Honor would like to see where we ended up. |
| 09:34:55 18 | THE COURT: All right. |
| 09:34:58 19 | MR. DELINSKY: And again, Your Honor, not |
| 09:35:00 20 | just out of an abundance of caution, just over CVS's the |
| 09:35:05 21 | objections previously stated. |
| 09:35:30 22 | (Brief pause in proceedings.) |
| 09:35:36 23 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 09:35:39 24 | This looks like one of those classified documents |
| 09:35:43 25 | which very little public and almost everything blacked |
| | |

09:35:46 1 out but --MS. FITZPATRICK: Your Honor, I agree. 09:35:48 2 Plaintiffs were very generous with those redactions. 09:35:49 3 09:35:54 4 (Laughter.) THE COURT: Very little of Mr. Delinsky's 09:35:54 5 objection because there's not much of this in. 09:35:56 6 09:35:59 7 Okay. All right. Thank you. 09:36:01 8 MR. DELINSKY: And, your Honor, I think one 09:36:02 9 other exhibit issue, and I don't think it's an issue but 09:36:06 10 given how we have worked out -- given what's now coming in, 09:36:12 11 we move in CVS-MDL-04954, which was the Temporary 09:36:18 12 Restraining Order issued in I think what we've also loosely 09:36:21 13 called the Hansen case. That's where CVS was ordered by the 09:36:25 14 District Court in Kentucky to continue filling prescriptions 09:36:27 15 and not to suspended Dr. Hansen, and I don't want to put 09:36:32 16 words in your mouth, Laura, but I believe that --09:36:35 17 MS. FITZPATRICK: Your Honor, this is kind of a goose/gander issue. 09:36:37 18 09:36:38 19 It is part of the agreement related to Holiday. 09:36:43 20 However, plaintiffs would, in other circumstances, have 09:36:47 21 objected to the admissibility of that document but it is --09:36:50 22 Mr. Delinsky is correct that this was kind of a package 09:36:53 23 deal. 09:36:53 24 THE COURT: All right. Fine. 09:36:54 25 MR. DELINSKY: And, Your Honor, I just want

| 09:36:56 1 | to again, I'm doubling on my neuroticism here, |
|-------------|--|
| 09:37:00 2 | Your Honor, but we're the agreement was as to the form of |
| 09:37:05 3 | the admission, but we maintain our objections to the Holiday |
| 09:37:07 4 | case for the reasons stated. |
| 09:37:08 5 | THE COURT: All right. Fine. |
| 09:37:09 6 | Okay. All right. Well, I appreciate everyone's hard |
| 09:37:14 7 | work in working through any issues with the exhibits. |
| 09:37:17 8 | So, again, just make sure that that flash drive is |
| 09:37:22 9 | accurate and then the jury will be able to access I'm |
| 09:37:26 10 | curious. Is there some sort of an index or how were they |
| 09:37:31 11 | going to I mean, is there going to be an index with |
| 09:37:34 12 | those? Are they going to be listed numerically? |
| 09:37:38 13 | How are they going to be able to go through them? |
| 09:37:40 14 | COURTROOM DEPUTY: Well, Judge, there the |
| 09:37:42 15 | exhibits are numbered, of course, with the number exhibits |
| 09:37:44 16 | but it also has a brief description. There's supposed to be |
| 09:37:48 17 | a brief description of the exhibits so the jury will know |
| 09:37:51 18 | what they're accessing. |
| 09:37:52 19 | THE COURT: All right. So there is that? |
| 09:37:54 20 | COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yeah. |
| 09:37:54 21 | THE COURT: Okay. That's good. |
| 09:37:56 22 | COURTROOM DEPUTY: The name of the file will |
| 09:37:57 23 | tell what it is. |
| 09:37:57 24 | THE COURT: Okay. Fine. That will help the |
| 09:38:01 25 | jury. |
| | |

| 09:38:02 1 | Okay. All right. I think CVS proposed a couple |
|-------------|---|
| 09:38:17 2 | amendments to the jury instructions. I've looked at them |
| 09:38:27 3 | and I'm not inclined to make those changes. |
| 09:38:33 4 | MR. DELINSKY: Your Honor, I think we put in |
| 09:38:37 5 | ones yesterday and I think there was agreement with |
| 09:38:40 6 | plaintiffs that the ones put in yesterday that added in two |
| 09:38:45 7 | places, that is ongoing today |
| 09:38:48 8 | THE COURT: Well, I thought I changed I |
| 09:38:50 9 | made those changes. |
| 09:38:51 10 | MR. DELINSKY: Oh, okay. All right. We just |
| 09:38:52 11 | haven't seen that, Your Honor. Okay. |
| 09:38:53 12 | THE COURT: Well, wait. I thought those were |
| 09:38:55 13 | sent to everyone. Julian, weren't those sent to everyone? |
| 09:39:00 14 | Weren't those sent yesterday? |
| 09:39:03 15 | LAW CLERK: No, Your Honor. |
| 09:39:03 16 | THE COURT: All right. Those were supposed to |
| 09:39:05 17 | go out last night. |
| 09:39:06 18 | MR. DELINSKY: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 09:39:06 19 | THE COURT: Let's get that done right now so |
| 09:39:08 20 | everyone can see them. |
| 09:39:10 21 | Give everyone hard copies who's here, please. |
| 09:39:13 22 | I apologize. That was supposed to happen last night. |
| 09:39:22 23 | Well, the proposal is to add, "You should not think |
| 09:39:24 24 | that something is true just because an attorney's questions |
| 09:39:28 25 | suggest that it is true." |

| 09:39:28 1 | I've already instructed them that attorneys' questions |
|-------------|---|
| 09:39:31 2 | aren't evidence, so I don't need that. |
| 09:39:35 3 | And then there's some other changes to public nuisance |
| 09:39:40 4 | based on a decision yesterday in the Johnson & Johnson case |
| 09:39:46 5 | by the Supreme Court of Oklahoma. |
| 09:39:50 6 | Well, that decision involved Oklahoma public nuisance |
| 09:39:54 7 | law. This is Ohio. So I'm certainly not changing anything |
| 09:39:57 8 | as a result of that opinion. |
| 09:39:58 9 | MR. DELINSKY: Your Honor, the one thing I |
| 09:39:59 10 | would add to the one sentence that we've proposed there is |
| 09:40:05 11 | that comes from the restatement second. But I and that |
| 09:40:09 12 | was part of what prompted us to include it. |
| 09:40:13 13 | THE COURT: Well, I'm not making that change. |
| 09:40:15 14 | MR. DELINSKY: Okay. Understood, Your Honor. |
| 09:40:25 15 | MS. TABACCHI: Your Honor, if I may. It's |
| 09:40:27 16 | Tina Tabacchi on behalf of Walmart. |
| 09:40:29 17 | If we could revisit the CVS motion to preclude certain |
| 09:40:33 18 | argument. Walmart would join in that motion and it's beyond |
| 09:40:36 19 | the instruction to disregard lawyer questions. What we are |
| 09:40:40 20 | looking for is to not have those questions used in closing. |
| 09:40:44 21 | THE COURT: Well, I'm addressing that now. |
| 09:40:46 22 | MS. TABACCHI: Oh, okay. Thank you. |
| 09:40:47 23 | THE COURT: CVS moved to preclude certain |
| 09:40:52 24 | argument. All right. |
| 09:40:52 25 | Everyone knows that a lawyer's question isn't evidence |

09:40:56 1 09:41:01 2 09:41:07 3 09:41:10 4 09:41:10 5 09:41:15 6 09:41:24 7 09:41:28 8 09:41:33 9 09:41:40 10 09:41:42 11 09:41:47 12 09:41:51 13 09:41:57 14 09:42:00 15 09:42:04 16 09:42:07 17 09:42:10 18 09:42:14 19 09:42:16 20

09:42:18 21

09:42:23 22

09:42:26 23

09:42:30 24

09:42:34 25

and that a lawyer's question, followed by an answer, "I don't know, I don't recall," does not admit into evidence the subject matter of the question or anything contained in the question.

I'm not going to have any references to questions with answers like that, but -- I mean, the only way that the document's referenced in a question like that is if they're already in, if the documents are already in, or if there was a prior question containing those documents or compilation or statistics to a witness and the witness acknowledged it and talked about it, well, then, you've got an actual answer with content, so.

So there's no way I'm going to be able to -- you know,
I don't have at my fingerprints all this evidence and the
statistics, and I don't want opening -- closing arguments to
be interrupted every five minutes.

So what are you all proposing? How are you proposing we handle this?

MR. LANIER: Your Honor, Mark Lanier by phone. If I can be heard for a moment.

First of all, I think we've got great experienced lawyers. I think the rules are real clear on this. Lawyer questions are not evidence and you're not allowed to bury within the question something that's not in evidence.

Certainly not anything that I would do in closing,

og:42:40 1 reference non-evidence in closing. And those questions were asked by all parties.

09:42:45 3

09:42:49 4

09:42:53 5

09:42:56 6

09:43:00 7

09:43:04 8

09:43:06 9

09:43:11 10

09:43:14 11

09:43:21 12

09:43:24 13

09:43:30 14

09:43:35 15

09:43:38 16

09:43:40 17

09:43:43 18

09:43:46 19

09:43:51 20

09:43:55 21

09:44:00 22

09:44:04 23

09:44:04 24

09:44:07 25

I have the same concern with the disk that's been subject to the Motion in Limine that was filed, except I didn't file one because I just figured the lawyers are going to follow the rules. And I think it's incumbent on all of us, as officers of the Court, to not make arguments that are blatantly objectionable, and I think these would be.

If there is a question that references evidence that is actually in front of the jury, that evidence has been admitted, then that's a different kind of question. But I asked, for example, a number of questions about, "Did you know that the dispensing records contained your dispensing 'X' number of prescriptions to Dr. Demangone or Demangone or however he says his name," and the witness would say no.

I have those statistics in front of me. I had a good faith basis, but I didn't have them in evidence and so I can't play that in front of the jury in closing. I can't reference that in that way and would not do so.

Likewise, that was done by Mr. Stoffelmayr and that was done by Mr. Delinsky and that was done by Ms. Fumerton at different times, and I believe they're not going to either.

All to say -- and I'll shut up -- we're going to all follow the rules, I hope. And if we don't, then shame,

| 09:44:11 1 | shame on us and there would be an objection, but I just |
|-------------|--|
| 09:44:14 2 | don't see anybody not following the rules on this. This, to |
| 09:44:17 3 | me, is like don't stand up and make racial comments. I |
| 09:44:21 4 | mean, this is a given, you know. |
| 09:44:26 5 | MR. DELINSKY: I think we're in agreement, |
| 09:44:27 6 | Your Honor. |
| 09:44:27 7 | THE COURT: All right. |
| 09:44:28 8 | MR. DELINSKY: That no one's going to |
| 09:44:29 9 | reference those kinds of the questions that are embedded |
| 09:44:32 10 | with facts not in evidence. |
| 09:44:33 11 | THE COURT: All right. |
| 09:44:33 12 | Well, I'm going to rely on counsel because you have, |
| 09:44:39 13 | you know, at your fingerprints, you know, real time |
| 09:44:44 14 | transcript, all the exhibits, the documents, the statistics. |
| 09:44:48 15 | I don't. So I'm going to rely on the fact I do have the |
| 09:44:52 16 | best lawyers in the country and I know you can follow the |
| 09:44:54 17 | rules. |
| 09:44:54 18 | So if something is in evidence, you can refer to it, |
| 09:45:00 19 | but if it's not, you can't. And you certainly can't, you |
| 09:45:05 20 | know, reference a question where you're putting something in |
| 09:45:08 21 | that's not in evidence and the only answer is, "I don't |
| 09:45:10 22 | know, I don't recall, I never saw it," because that I |
| 09:45:15 23 | will really clamp down hard on anyone who does that. |
| 09:45:17 24 | All right. Well, anything else that anyone can think |
| | |

of that we ought to cover?

09:45:28 25

| 09:45:35 1 | MS. FITZPATRICK: Not for plaintiffs, |
|-------------|--|
| 09:45:36 2 | Your Honor. I don't believe so. |
| 09:45:39 3 | THE COURT: Anything from any of the |
| 09:45:41 4 | defendants? |
| 09:45:42 5 | MR. DELINSKY: I don't think so, Your Honor. |
| 09:45:43 6 | I'm looking around at other my colleagues. I don't |
| 09:45:48 7 | believe so. |
| 09:45:48 8 | MR. SWANSON: Nothing from us, Your Honor. |
| 09:45:49 9 | THE COURT: Okay. Well, have a good few days, |
| 09:45:54 10 | and I'm looking forward to the closing arguments. |
| 09:45:56 11 | So we're going to my plan is to do the plaintiffs' |
| 09:46:05 12 | closing and most of the instructions before lunch. We'll |
| 09:46:08 13 | break take an hour for lunch. We'll come back. We'll do |
| 09:46:14 14 | two of the defendants. You can it doesn't matter which |
| 09:46:17 15 | two. We'll take our mid-afternoon break. We'll have the |
| 09:46:22 16 | last one and the close of the instructions, and then the |
| 09:46:24 17 | jury will retire. |
| 09:46:25 18 | And my guess is they'll want to adjourn pretty |
| 09:46:30 19 | quickly, but if they don't, I mean, it's up to them. They |
| 09:46:33 20 | can they set their own schedule. |
| 09:46:35 21 | And I provide lunch, starting Tuesday for |
| 09:46:39 22 | deliberations. I'm not allowed to do it during the trial, |
| 09:46:43 23 | but the rules permit it for deliberations. So I'm going to |
| 09:46:48 24 | be doing that. I found it saves time, obviously minimizes |
| 09:46:55 25 | distractions, the opportunity to talk to anyone else. It |

| 09:46:58 1 | builds collegiality. So I do that. And so I'll be |
|-------------|---|
| 09:47:04 2 | providing lunch for them. |
| 09:47:06 3 | Okay. |
| 09:47:07 4 | MR. DELINSKY: Your Honor, before just on |
| 09:47:08 5 | that scheduling, just one point. |
| 09:47:12 6 | I don't want there to be a surprise on Monday |
| 09:47:15 7 | afternoon. We have not made decisions internally how we're |
| 09:47:20 8 | going to allocate time, if there will be common portions or |
| 09:47:24 9 | everyone will go by their hours. |
| 09:47:25 10 | THE COURT: That's true. You don't have to |
| 09:47:27 11 | have exactly one hour, one hour. |
| 09:47:29 12 | MR. DELINSKY: Yeah, and it could interfere |
| 09:47:31 13 | THE COURT: I'll still probably |
| 09:47:34 14 | MR. DELINSKY: Yes, exactly. Your Honor. |
| 09:47:35 15 | THE COURT: Well, I'd rather not have to cut |
| 09:47:38 16 | someone off in the middle, but if someone is going to take |
| 09:47:41 17 | one hour and 45 minutes well, I'll tell you what, why |
| 09:47:46 18 | don't you're going to figure this out over the weekend. |
| 09:47:49 19 | Why don't you let me know Monday and so I'll know sort of |
| 09:47:53 20 | what you're planning to do. |
| 09:47:55 21 | MR. DELINSKY: We'll do that, Your Honor. |
| 09:47:57 22 | Yeah. Then we can figure out where the break should go. |
| 09:48:00 23 | THE COURT: Right. I'd rather not have to cut |
| 09:48:05 24 | one of you off in the middle, but if you allocate your time |
| 09:48:09 25 | in such a way that there's no other way to do it, you know, |

| 09:48:12 1 | it's not so terrible. |
|-------------|--|
| 09:48:14 2 | Mr. Lanier is going to have to do that with his. |
| 09:48:16 3 | MR. DELINSKY: Right. Wouldn't be the end of |
| 09:48:17 4 | the world. |
| 09:48:18 5 | MR. LANIER: Your Honor, my Your Honor, |
| 09:48:19 6 | Mark Lanier. |
| 09:48:21 7 | My plan if I understand the Court right, my plan is |
| 09:48:24 8 | you will do your initial charge to the jury starting around |
| 09:48:27 9 | 9:00. |
| 09:48:28 10 | THE COURT: Right. |
| 09:48:28 11 | MR. LANIER: That means I will get to start |
| 09:48:32 12 | probably around 9:30. |
| 09:48:34 13 | I'm planning an initial segment that will last |
| 09:48:39 14 | one hour, to about 10:30. We take the break. Then I've got |
| 09:48:42 15 | the next section for an hour and a half and then we take the |
| 09:48:44 16 | breaks, et cetera, and then I'll save that 30 minutes for |
| 09:48:47 17 | rebuttal if that's okay. |
| 09:48:49 18 | THE COURT: That's it. |
| 09:48:50 19 | So I think if we do it that way, my guess is we'll |
| 09:48:53 20 | take our lunch break, it will be around 12:15, somewhere |
| 09:48:57 21 | around there is what I'm figuring. |
| 09:49:01 22 | Okay. Okay. All right. Have a good few days, and |
| 09:49:06 23 | see everyone on Monday. |
| 09:49:08 24 | MS. FITZPATRICK: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 09:49:08 25 | MR. DELINSKY: Thank you, Your Honor. |

Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 4140 Filed: 11/10/21 19 of 19. PageID #: 553982

| Case. 1 | 7041 |
|------------|---|
| 09:49:10 1 | (Proceedings adjourned at 9:49 a.m.) |
| 2 | |
| 3 | CERTIFICATE |
| 4 | I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript |
| 5 | of the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter prepared from my stenotype notes. |
| 6 | /s/ Heather K. Newman 11-10-2021 |
| 7 | HEATHER K. NEWMAN, RMR, CRR DATE |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |
| | |